UNITED STATES DISSOUTHERN DISTRIC		
EDWIN SALAZAR,		
-v- UNCURTAIN INC., e	Plaintiff, t al.,	No. 20 CV 5716 LTS-BCM
	Defendants.	

MEMORANDUM ORDER

The Court has received and reviewed the parties' request for approval of the proposed settlement agreement in this Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") case. (Docket Entry No. 15.) "[B]efore a district court enters judgment [on an FLSA settlement agreement], it must scrutinize the settlement agreement to determine that the settlement is fair and reasonable." Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). "The ultimate question is whether the proposed settlement reflects a fair and reasonable compromise of disputed issues rather than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought about by an employer's overreaching." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). In determining whether a settlement is fair and reasonable, a court considers the totality of the circumstances, encompassing a range of factors including: "(1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "Generally, there is a strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair, as the Court is generally not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA SALAZAR - FLSA SETTL APPV ORD.DOCX VERSION JANUARY 11, 2021

settlement." Lliguichuzhca v. Cinema 60, LLC, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)

(internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Moreover, following the Second Circuit's

decision in Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015), parties

may not privately settle FLSA claims without approval of either the district court or the

Department of Labor. In dicta in Cheeks, the Second Circuit expressed hesitation with respect to

the validity of settlement agreements containing confidentiality provisions, general releases or

excessive attorneys' fees. See generally id.

The Court has carefully considered the parties' submission, which includes the

declaration of plaintiff's counsel Abdul Karim Hassan as well as a copy of the parties' executed

Settlement Agreements and Release (See Docket Entry Nos. 15, 15-1.) In light of the factors

articulated above, as well as the Court's review of the agreement and the representations set forth

in Mr. Hassan's declarations, the Court finds that the proposed settlement agreement, including

the attorneys' fees and expense award component, is fair and reasonable and that it satisfies the

requirements of Cheeks. The parties are directed to submit their Stipulation of Dismissal

promptly.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

January 11, 2021

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

United States District Judge

2